

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,524	11/21/2003	Johann Engelhardt	016790-0487	8426	
22428 75	90 06/30/2005		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AND LARDNER			CHERRY, EUNCHA P		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2872	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Communication	10/717,524	ENGELHARDT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	EUNCHA P. CHERRY	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	oril 2005.					
<u>_</u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 31-62 is/are pending in the application	 Claim(s) 31-62 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 36-38 and 41-62 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) 31-35 and 40 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) 39 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	, ,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	priority under 25 LLC C \$ 440(a)	(d) as (6)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	phonty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	i-(a) or (t).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
<u> </u>		on No				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	• •					
application from the International Bureau	•	ed III tills National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ad.				
occurred actailed Office action for a list	or the contined copies flot receive					
American and N						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T 1-1	(PTO 442)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔛 Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/717,524 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 36-38, 41-62 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 4/19/05.
- 2. Applicant's election of species 1 in the reply filed on 4/19/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 31-34 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gustafsson et al (US 5,671,085 from IDS).

Gustafsson et al discloses a microscope comprising: at least one light source (Fig. 4, 30); at least one detector (22);

Application/Control Number: 10/717,524

Art Unit: 2872

two objectives (12, 14), one of the objectives being arranged on each of the two sides of the specimen plane and the objectives being directed toward one another and having a common focus (see 12, 14, 16); at least one beam splitter and a beam recombiner (20); a modular assembly (inherent holder that holds the elements set forth above) for grouping the objectives and the beam splitter/beam recombiner therein (by inherent housing); and interface is provided with the modular assembly for connection to the illumination/detection beam path of the microscope (inherent housing that holds 12, 14 and 20 has an optical interface between the light entering the beam splitter and also light exiting the beam recombiner, i.e. the beams travel between these assemblies). The modular assembly is connected with its interface instead of a conventional objective (by optical interface). There is a baseplate (plane of the page) and the optical components are arrange in a housing (inherent otherwise the con-focal scanning beams can be bent within the housing). The modular assembly comprises a specimen stage (16) that is arranged between the objectives (see Fig. 4).

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/717,524 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gustafsson et al.

Gustafsson et al discloses the claimed invention as set forth above except for the housing that is hermetically sealed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the housing to be hermetically sealed for the purpose of preventing dusts deposited onto the optical components disposed in the housing.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 39 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: claim is allowable at least for

Page 5

Art Unit: 2872

the reason that the prior art does not teach or reasonably suggest the modular assembly that is arranged on the baseplate in such a way that temperature-related expansions compensate for one another and therefore have no effect on the optical alignment state of the modular assembly as set forth in the claimed combination.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EUNCHA P. CHERRY whose telephone number is 571-272-2310. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DREW DUNN can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,524

Art Unit: 2872

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EUNCHA P. CHERRY Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872

6/26/05